

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,051	11/13/2003	Yuji Isoda	Q78183	7136
23373	7590 03/30/2004		EXAMINER	
	MION, PLLC	W	BARR, MICHAEL E	
SUITE 800	YLVANIA AVENUE, N.	w.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20037		1762	

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· /·						
e fire	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/706,051	ISODA, YUJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Barr	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replanded of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr te, cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this com NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	 munication			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the n	nerits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	• ,		t 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Offi	ice Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			`			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		.,.,				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		ation No. <u>09/777,682</u> .				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been rece	eived in this National St	tage			
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
Attachment(s)		• •				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mai	I Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/13/03</u>. 	5)	al Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/706,051

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Herron et al.

Herron et al. teaches making a radio-conductive inorganic/organic composite film, including a BiI₃/nylon composite, where the film can be formed by applying the film onto a substrate and heat pressing, where the heating is disclosed to be on the order of 50-150 °C (Col. 4, line 24-Col. 6, line 11; Examples 3-7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herron et al.

Herron et al. is applied here for the same reasons as given above. Herron et al. does not teach the amount of pressure applied to the film during the heat pressing. However, one of

Application/Control Number: 10/706,051

Art Unit: 1762

ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the amount of pressure applied during a heat pressing treatment is a cause effective variable, as the amount of pressure effects the compaction of the composite film. It is considered obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have determined the optimum value of a cause variable, such as pressure, through routine experimentation in the absence of a showing of a criticality in the claimed pressure (*In re Woodruff* 16 USPQ 2d 1934, 1936). Therefore, it is the examiner's position that the claimed pressure would have been obvious to one skilled in the art practicing Herron et al., with the expectation of providing the desired composite film formation, such pressure being determined through routine experimentation.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,051

Art Unit: 1762

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Barr whose telephone number is 571-272-1414. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on 571-272-1415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Barr Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

MB March 24, 2004